

6

12

13

14

10

19

20

18

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

//

- 4. All of Plaintiff's claims arise out of repeated exposure to asbestos-containing products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by defendants and supplied to, installed and/or maintained by defendants at Plaintiff's worksites, over a period of years, caused from release of toxic asbestos fibers and subsequent inhalation by the Plaintiff, resulting in cumulative, progressive, incurable lung diseases.
- 5. Plaintiff claims damages for an asbestos-related disease arising from a series of occurrences not dependent on Plaintiff's worksite but on the fact that asbestos-containing products, when handled in the manner in which they were intended, released harmful asbestos fibers which when inhaled by Plaintiff, caused serious lung disease.
- 6. As used herein, Plaintiff shall mean the above-captioned asbestos-injured Plaintiff.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants were and are corporations, partnerships, unincorporated associations, sole proprietorships and/or other business entities organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, or the laws of some other state or foreign jurisdiction, and that said defendants, and each of them, were and are authorized to do and are doing business in the State of California, and that said defendants have regularly conducted business in the County of San Francisco, State of California.

II.

### JURISDICTION, VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

8. Jurisdiction: Plaintiff RUDOLPH HANNA is a citizen of the State of Oregon. Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of New York and having its principal places of business in the State of Connecticut. Defendant TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION is a corporation incorporated under the laws of, and having its principal places of business in the State of Washington. Defendant NATIONAL STEEL AND SHIPBUILDING COMPANY is a corporation incorporated under the laws of and having its principal places of business in California.

26

27

28

This Court has original jurisdiction under 25 USC § 1332, in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states in which the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of costs and interest, seventy-five thousand dollars.

9. <u>Venue / Intradistrict Assignment.</u> Venue is proper in the Northern District of California and assignment to the San Francisco Division of said district is proper as a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claims asserted by Plaintiff herein occurred within the County of San Francisco, California, and Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district at the time the action is commenced.

#### III.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

PLAINTIFF RUDOLPH HANNA COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION, NATIONAL STEEL AND SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES," AND EACH OF THEM, AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE ALLEGES:

10. At all times herein mentioned, each of the named defendants was the successor, successor in business, successor in product line or a portion thereof, assign, predecessor, predecessor in business, predecessor in product line or a portion thereof, parent, holding company, affiliate, venturer, co-venturer, subsidiary, wholly or partially owned by, or the whole or partial owner of or member in an entity researching, studying, manufacturing, fabricating, designing, modifying, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, testing, authorizing, approving, certifying, facilitating, promoting, representing, endorsing servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging, specifying, requiring, mandating, or otherwise directing and/or facilitating the use of, or advertising a certain product, namely asbestos, and/or other products containing asbestos. Said entities shall hereinafter collectively be called ALTERNATE ENTITIES. Each of the herein named defendants is liable for the tortious

conduct of each successor, successor in business, successor in product line or a portion thereof, assign, predecessor in product line or a portion thereof, parent, holding company, affiliate, venturer, co-venturer, subsidiary, whole or partial owner, or wholly or partially owned entity, or entity that it was a member of, or funded, that researched, studied, manufactured, fabricated, designed, modified, labeled, assembled, distributed, leased, bought, offered for sale, supplied, sold, inspected, serviced, installed, contracted for installation, repaired, marketed, warranted, rebranded, manufactured for others and advertised a certain product, namely asbestos, and other products containing asbestos. The following defendants, and each of them, are liable for the acts of each and every ALTERNATE ENTITY, and each of them, in that there has been a virtual destruction of plaintiff's remedy against each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; defendants, and each of them, have acquired the assets, product line, or a portion thereof, of each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; defendants, and each of them, caused the destruction of plaintiff's remedy against each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; each such defendant has the ability to assume the risk-spreading role of each such ALTERNATE ENTITY; and that each such defendant enjoys the goodwill originally attached to each such ALTERNATE ENTITY:

## **DEFENDANT**

## ALTERNATE ENTITY

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

MATTERN X-RAY

HOTPOINT ELECTRIC APPLIANCE COMPANY LIMITED TRUMBULL ELECTRIC MANUFACTURING COMPANY G E INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS

**CURTIS TURBINES** PARSONS TURBINES

GENERAL ELECTRIC JET ENGINES

25

26

27

28

TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION

TODD PACIFIC SHIPYARDS CORPORATION SEATTLE-TACOMA SHIPBUILDING CORP.

11. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, were and are engaged in the business of researching, manufacturing, fabricating, designing, modifying, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, endorsing, testing, authorizing, approving, certifying, facilitating, promoting, representing, servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging, specifying, requiring, mandating,

asbestos and other products containing asbestos.

12. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES and each of them, singularly and jointly, negligently, and carelessly researched, manufactured, fabricated, designed, modified, tested or failed to test, abated or failed to abate, warned or failed to warn of the health hazards, labeled, assembled, distributed, leased, bought, offered for sale, supplied, sold, inspected, serviced, authorized, approved, certified, facilitated, promoted, installed, represented, endorsed, contracted for installation of, repaired, marketed, warranted, rebranded, manufactured for others, packaged and advertised, a certain product, namely asbestos, and other products containing asbestos, in that said products caused personal injuries to users, consumers, workers, bystanders and others, including the plaintiff herein, (hereinafter collectively called "exposed persons"), while being used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable, thereby rendering said products hazardous, unsafe and dangerous for use by "exposed persons".

or otherwise directing and/or facilitating the use of, or advertising a certain product, namely

- 13. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, had a duty to exercise due care in the pursuance of the activities mentioned above and defendants, and each of them, breached said duty of due care.
- 14. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES and each of them, knew, or should have known, and intended that the aforementioned asbestos and products containing asbestos and related products and equipment, would be transported by truck, rail, ship, and other common carriers, that in the shipping process the products would break, crumble, or be otherwise damaged; and/or that such products would be used for insulation, construction, plastering, fireproofing, soundproofing, automotive, aircraft and/or other applications, including, but not limited to unpacking, preparing, using, sawing, drilling, chipping, hammering, scraping, sanding, breaking, removing, maintaining, inspecting, "rip-out", and other manipulation, resulting in the release of airborne asbestos fibers, and that through such foreseeable use and/or handling "exposed persons", including plaintiff herein, would use or be in proximity to and exposed to said asbestos fibers, which contaminated the packaging, products, environment, and clothing of

7

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

26

25

27 28 persons working in proximity to said products, directly or through reentrainment.

- 15. Plaintiff have used, handled, or been otherwise exposed to asbestos and asbestoscontaining products referred to herein in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable. Plaintiff's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products is on current information as set forth at various locations and circumstances in Exhibit A, attached to plaintiff's complaint and incorporated by reference herein.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the acts, omissions, and conduct of the defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, as aforesaid, Plaintiff's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products caused severe and permanent injury, damage, loss, or harm to the plaintiff as set forth in Exhibit A, attached to Plaintiff's complaint and incorporated by reference herein.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that progressive lung disease, cancer, and other serious diseases are caused by inhalation or ingestion of asbestos fibers without perceptible trauma and that said injury, damage, loss, or harm results from exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products over a period of time.
- 18. Plaintiff suffers from a condition related to exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products. Plaintiff was not aware at the time of exposure that asbestos or asbestos-containing products presented risk of injury and/or disease.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered, and continue to suffer, permanent injuries and/or future increased risk of injuries to their persons, body and health, including, but not limited to, asbestosis, other lung damage, and cancer, and the mental and emotional distress attendant thereto, from the effect of exposure to asbestos fibers, all to Plaintiff's general damage.
- As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of the defendants, their 20. "alternate entities," and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred, is presently incurring, and will incur in the future, liability for physicians, surgeons, nurses, hospital care, medicine, hospices, x-rays and other medical treatment, the true and exact amount thereof being unknown to Plaintiff at this

4

10

11

7

13

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

time, and Plaintiff prays leave to amend this complaint accordingly when the true and exact cost thereof is ascertained.

- 21. As a further direct and proximate result of the said conduct of the defendants. their "alternate entities," and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred pecuniary losses, the full nature and extent of which are not yet known to Plaintiff; and leave is requested to amend this complaint to conform to proof at the time of trial.
- 22. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, and their officers, directors and managing agents participated in, authorized, expressly and impliedly ratified, and had full knowledge of, or should have known of, each of the acts set forth herein.
- 23. Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, are liable for the fraudulent, oppressive, and malicious acts of their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, and each defendant's officers, directors and managing agents participated in, authorized, expressly and impliedly ratified, and had full knowledge of, or should have known of, the acts of each of their ALTERNATE ENTITIES as set forth herein.
- 24. The herein-described conduct of said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, was and is willful, malicious, fraudulent, outrageous and in conscious disregard and indifference to the safety and health of "exposed persons". Plaintiff, for the sake of example and by way of punishing said defendants, seeks punitive damages according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Products Liability)

AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE, FURTHER AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY, PLAINTIFF RUDOLPH HANNA COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION, NATIONAL STEEL AND SHIPBUILDING COMPANY, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES," AND EACH OF THEM, AS FOLLOWS:

25. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the

7

11 12

13

10

15

16

17

14

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

> 27 28

K:\Injured\106929\FED\Cmp FED (PI solo) no doe wpd

- allegations contained in each paragraph of the First Cause of Action herein.
- 26. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, knew and intended that the above-referenced asbestos and asbestos-containing products would be used by the purchaser or user without inspection for defects therein or in any of their component parts and without knowledge of the hazards involved in such use.
- 27. Said asbestos and asbestos-containing products were defective and unsafe for their intended purpose in that the inhalation of asbestos fibers causes serious disease and/or death. The defect existed in the said products at the time they left the possession of defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them. Said products did, in fact, cause personal injuries, including asbestosis, other lung damage, and cancer to "exposed persons", including Plaintiff herein, while being used in a reasonably foreseeable manner, thereby rendering the same defective, unsafe and dangerous for use.
- "Exposed persons" did not know of the substantial danger of using said products. 28. Said dangers were not readily recognizable by "exposed persons". Said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, further failed to adequately warn of the risks to which Plaintiff and others similarly situated were exposed.
- In researching, manufacturing, fabricating, designing, modifying, testing or failing 29. to test, warning or failing to warn, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging and advertising asbestos and asbestos-containing products, defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, did so with conscious disregard for the safety of "exposed persons" who came in contact with said asbestos and asbestos-containing products, in that said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, had prior knowledge that there was a substantial risk of injury or death resulting from exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products, including, but not limited to, asbestosis, other lung damages and cancer. Said knowledge was obtained, in part, from scientific studies performed by, at the request of, or with the assistance of, said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, and which knowledge was obtained by said

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 30. On or before 1930, and thereafter, said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES and each of them, were aware that members of the general public and other "exposed persons", who would come in contact with their asbestos and asbestos-containing products, had no knowledge or information indicating that asbestos or asbestos-containing products could cause injury, and said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, knew that members of the general public and other "exposed persons", who came in contact with asbestos and asbestos-containing products, would assume, and in fact did assume, that exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products was safe, when in fact said exposure was extremely hazardous to health and human life.
- With said knowledge, said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each 31. of them, opted to research, manufacture, fabricate, design, modify, label, assemble, distribute, lease, buy, offer for sale, supply, sell, inspect, service, install, contract for installation, repair, market, warrant, rebrand, manufacture for others, package and advertise said asbestos and asbestos-containing products without attempting to protect "exposed persons" from or warn "exposed persons" of, the high risk of injury or death resulting from exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products. Rather than attempting to protect "exposed persons" from, or warn "exposed persons" of, the high risk of injury or death resulting from exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products, defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, intentionally failed to reveal their knowledge of said risk, and consciously and actively concealed and suppressed said knowledge from "exposed persons" and members of the general public, thus impliedly representing to "exposed persons" and members of the general public that asbestos and asbestos-containing products were safe for all reasonably foreseeable uses. Defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, engaged in this conduct and made these implied representations with the knowledge of the falsity of said implied representations.
- 32. The above-referenced conduct of said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, was motivated by the financial interest of said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, in the continuing, uninterrupted research, design, modification,

9

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

K:\Injured\106929\FED\Cmp FED (PI solo) no doe.wpd

manufacture, fabrication, labeling, assembly, distribution, lease, purchase, offer for sale, supply, sale, inspection, installation, contracting for installation, repair, marketing, warranting, rebranding, manufacturing for others, packaging and advertising of asbestos and asbestoscontaining products. In pursuance of said financial motivation, said defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, consciously disregarded the safety of "exposed persons" and in fact were consciously willing and intended to permit asbestos and asbestoscontaining products to cause injury to "exposed persons" and induced persons to work with and be exposed thereto, including Plaintiff.

- 33. Plaintiff alleges that the aforementioned defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them impliedly warranted their asbestos and asbestos-containing products to be safe for their intended use but that their asbestos and asbestos-containing products, created an unreasonable risk of bodily harm to exposed persons.
- Plaintiff further alleges his injuries are a result of cumulative exposure to asbestos 34. and various asbestos-containing products manufactured, fabricated, inadequately researched, designed, modified, inadequately tested, labeled, assembled, distributed, leased, bought, offered for sale, supplied, sold, inspected, serviced, installed, contracted for installation, repaired, marketed, warranted, rebranded, manufactured for others, packaged and advertised by the aforementioned defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them and that Plaintiff cannot identify precisely which asbestos or asbestos-containing products caused the injuries complained of herein.
- 35. Plaintiff relied upon defendants', their "alternate entities", and each of their representations, lack of warnings, and implied warranties of fitness of asbestos and their asbestos-containing products. As a direct, foreseeable and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff have been injured permanently as alleged herein.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the actions and conduct outlined herein, Plaintiff have suffered the injuries and damages previously alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against defendants, their ALTERNATE ENTITIES, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

	ase 3:08-cv-00980-JSW Document 1 Filed 02/15/2008 Page 11 of 11					
1	IV.					
2	PRAYER					
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against defendants, their ALTERNATE					
4	ENTITIES, and each of them in an amount to be proved at trial, as follows:					
5	(a) For Plaintiff's general damages according to proof;					
6	(b) For Plaintiff's loss of income, wages and earning potential according to proof;					
7	(c) For Plaintiff's medical and related expenses according to proof;					
8	(d) For Plaintiff's cost of suit herein;					
9	(e) For exemplary or punitive damages according to proof;					
10	(f) For damages for fraud according to proof; and					
11	(g) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, including					
12	costs and prejudgment interest.					
13	Dated: Z 10 08 BRAYTON PURCELL LLP					
14						
15	By: David R. Donadio					
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
17	JURY DEMAND					
18	Plaintiff hereby demand trial by jury of all issues of this cause.					
19	2/13/3					
20	Dated: BRAYTON PURCELL LLP					
21						
22	By: David R. Donadio					
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
24						
25						
26 27						
28	·					
20						
	K:\Injured\106929\FED\Cmp FED (PI solo) no doe.wpd 11 COMPLAINT					

#### EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff: Rudolph Hannah

Plaintiff's injuries: Plaintiff was diagnosed with asbestosis and asbestos-related pleural disease on or about October 2006. Defendants: Plaintiff contends that the asbestos-containing products to which he was or may have been exposed to were manufactured, supplied, distributed, installed and/or contracted for by Defendants. Plaintiff's exposure to asbestos occurred at the following times and places, and involved exposure to dust created by the contractors and the products of the entities listed below. The exposure includes, <u>but is not limited</u>, to the following presently known contractors and the manufacturers and distributors of asbestos-containing products:

Employer	Location of Exposure	Job Title	Exposure <u>Dates</u>
U.S. Navy	Naval Training Center San Diego, CA	Trainee	1960
	USS ALUDRA (AF-55)	Boiler Mechanic	1960-1964

Job Duties: Plaintiff trained at U.S. Naval Training Center in San Diego, California.

Aboard the <u>USS ALUDRA</u> (AF-55), plaintiff worked in the boiler room. Plaintiff performed maintenance and repair work on a BABCOCK & WILCOX boiler. Plaintiff went inside of the boiler to remove and reinstall refractory materials. Plaintiff replaced gaskets on boiler doors, manhole covers and handhold covers. Plaintiff used GARLOCK and FLEXITALLIC gaskets. Plaintiff installed gaskets and packing on CRANE CO. and GROVE valves. Plaintiff used ANCHOR and JOHN CRANE packing. Plaintiff installed valves and gaskets on WESTINGHOUSE, WORTHINGTON, WARREN PUMPS and INGERSOLL-RAND pumps. Plaintiff removed lagging and insulation from pipes to repair steam leaks. Plaintiff replaced packing and gaskets on steam traps. Plaintiff currently contends that he was exposed to asbestos during this employment.

K:\Injured\106929\FED\FEDA ().frm

///

///

Employer	Location of Exposure	Job Title	Exposure <u>Dates</u>
U.S. Navy	USS BUCK (DD-761)	Boiler Mechanic	1965-1968
	USS FRANK E. EVANS (DD-754)	Boiler Mechanic	1968-1969
	USS YELLOWSTONE (AD-27)	Boiler Mechanic	1969-1972
	Navy Recruiting Office Tampa, FL	Recruiter	1972-1976
	<u>USS SARATOGA</u> (CV-60)	Boiler Mechanic (Supervisor)	1976-1979
	USS DIXON (AS-37)	Damage Controlman (Assistant)	1979
	Naval Repair Facility Yokosuka, Japan	Inspector	1979-1984
	USS MIDWAY (CVA-41)		1979
	USS CAPE COD (AD-43)	Manager	1984
	Naval Amphibious Base Coronado, CA	Manager	1984-1987

Job Duties: Plaintiff worked in the boiler room aboard the <u>USS BUCK</u> (DD-761). Plaintiff performed maintenance and repair work on a BABCOCK & WILCOX boiler. Plaintiff went inside of the boiler to remove and reinstall refractory materials. Plaintiff scrubbed boiler tubes using brushes. Plaintiff replaced gaskets on boiler doors, manhole covers and handhold covers. Plaintiff used GARLOCK and FLEXITALLIC gaskets. Plaintiff installed gaskets and packing on CRANE CO. and GROVE valves. Plaintiff used ANCHOR and JOHN CRANE packing. Plaintiff installed valves and gaskets on WESTINGHOUSE, WORTHINGTON, WARREN PUMPS and INGERSOLL-RAND pumps. Plaintiff disassembled INGERSOLL-RAND force draft blowers to check propeller clearances and to repair steam leaks. Plaintiff recalls having to remove insulation pads in order to access his work. Plaintiff installed new insulation pads after his work was complete. Plaintiff replaced packing and gaskets on steam traps. Plaintiff recalls

K:\Injured\106929\FED\FEDA ().frm

the coworkers Douglas Adkins, address unknown; James Curtis George, address unknown.

Aboard the USS FRANK E. EVANS (DD-754), Plaintiff performed maintenance and repair work on a BABCOCK & WILCOX boiler. Plaintiff went inside of the boiler to remove and reinstall refractory materials. Plaintiff scrubbed boiler tubes using brushes. Plaintiff replaced gaskets on boiler doors, manhole covers and handhold covers. Plaintiff used GARLOCK and FLEXITALLIC gaskets. Plaintiff installed gaskets and packing on CRANE CO. and GROVE valves. Plaintiff used ANCHOR and JOHN CRANE packing. Plaintiff installed valves and gaskets on WESTINGHOUSE, WORTHINGTON, WARREN PUMPS and INGERSOLL-RAND pumps. Plaintiff removed lagging and insulation from pipes to repair steam leaks. Plaintiff replaced packing and gaskets on steam traps.

Aboard the <u>USS YELLOWSTONE</u> (AD-27), plaintiff worked in the boiler repair shop. In the shop, plaintiff performed maintenance and repair work on heat exchangers and condensers Plaintiff also performed prefab welding of exchanger, condensers and boiler parts. Plaintiff welded using LINCOLN and HOBART 6010 welding rods. Plaintiff used asbestos gloves and blankets. Plaintiff regularly boarded various other ships to perform boiler repairs. Plaintiff recalls disassembling and rebuilding BABCOCK & WILCOX, FOSTER WHEELER, COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, CLEAVER BROOKS and PEERLESS boilers. Plaintiff removed and replaced insulation, valves, gaskets, panels and tubing on these boilers. Plaintiff welded boiler panels and other boiler room equipment using LINCOLN and HOBART 6010 welding rods. Plaintiff used asbestos gloves and blankets. On many of these ships, plaintiff rigged out CRANE CO. heat exchangers and took them to the <u>USS YELLOWSTONE</u> (AD-41) for repairs and re-tubing.

Aboard the <u>USS SARATOGA</u> (CV-60), plaintiff supervised the boiler repair shop that operated and maintained the eight BABCOCK & WILCOX boilers on the ship. Plaintiff recalls performing maintenance and repair work on the boilers such as replacing gaskets, packing and installing valves and repairing lines. Plaintiff replaced packing and gaskets on CRANE CO. and GROVE valves. Plaintiff used GARLOCK and FLEXITALLIC gaskets. Plaintiff performed maintenance and repair work on WESTINGHOUSE force draft blowers. Occasionally, plaintiff went aboard other ships to perform boiler repairs.

Aboard the <u>USS DIXON</u> (AS-37), plaintiff worked as a Damage Control Assistant (DCA) managing and tracking the ship's damage control personnel and paperwork.

At the Naval Repair Facility in Yokusuka, Japan, plaintiff performed quality assurance inspection of various types of work done on various ships. Plaintiff recalls going aboard the <u>USS</u> MIDWAY (CVA-41) to inspect repair work on the flight deck and steam catapult system.

Aboard the USS CAPE COD (AD-43), plaintiff worked in an office tracking personnel and ship repair work.

At Naval Amphibious Base, Coronado, California, plaintiff worked from an office managing base transportation systems and vehicle mechanics.

Plaintiff currently contends that he was exposed to asbestos during this employment.



CIVIL COVER SHEET JS 44 - No. CAL#F .(Rev. 4/97) The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO) **DEFENDANTS** I.(a) PLAINTIFFS GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY et al **RUDOLPH HANNA** (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLANTING COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES. USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. State of Connecticut County of Curry, State of Oregon ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) (C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) David R. Donadio, esq. Brayton <> Purcell, 222 Rush Landing Road, Novato, CA 94948 (415) 898-1555 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN ">" IN ONE BOX ONLY) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "/" IN ONE BOX FOR (For diversity cases only) AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) ■ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question PTF DEF (U.S. Government Not a Party) PTF DEF Plaintiff Incorporated or Principal Place **4 4** ☐ 2 U.S. Government Citizen of This State **1 1** ☑ 4 Diversity Defendant of Business In This State (Indicate Citizenship of Citizen of Another State **☑** 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State Parties in Item III) Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 □ 3 **□6** □6 Foreign Country IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "✓" IN ONE BOX ONLY) ☐ 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or ☐5 Transfered from ☐ 6 Multidistrict ☐7 Appeal to ✓ 1 Original □ 2 Removed from State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another district Litigation District Judge from Proceeding (specify) Magistrate Judgment V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "✓" IN ONE BOX ONLY) **TORTS** FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY CONTRACT **OTHER STATUTES** 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 610 Agriculture 3422 Appeal 28 USC 158 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury 620 Other Food & Drug 423 Withdrawal 410 Antitrust **120** Manne Med Maloractice 28 USC 157 430 Banks and Banking 315 Airolane Product 625 Drug Related Seizure of ☐130 Miller Act 5 Personal Injury 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability Property 21 USC 881 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. roduct Liability 320 Assault Libel & PROPERTY RIGHTS \_\_\_\_ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 460 Deportation ■150 Recovery of Overpayment Asbestos Personal 640 RR & Truck Slander & Enforcement of Judgment 470 Racketeer Influenced and 330 Federal Employers hjury Product Liability 820 Copyrights 650 Airline Regs ☐151 Medicare Act Corrupt Organizations 830 Patent Liability 660 Occupational ■ 810 Selective Service ☐152 Recovery of Defaulted PERSONAL PROPERTY 340 Marine Safety/Health 840 Trademark ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Student Loans (Excl Veterans) 370 Other Fraud 690 Other 345 Marine Product ■153 Recovery of Overpayment Exchange 371 Truth In Lending **SOCIAL SECURITY LABOR** Liability ■ 875 Customer Challenge of Veteran's Benefits 380 Other Personal 350 Motor Vehicle 12 USC 3410 160 Stockholders Suits 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage 710 Fair Labor Standards Act **□ 861** HIA (1395ff) 190 Other Contract 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liabiltiy 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 892 Economic Stabilization 195 Contract Product Liability Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Act 893 Environmental Matters Disclosure Act ■ 864 SSID Title XVI 740 Railway Labor Act 865 RSI (405(g)) 894 Energy Allocation Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 895 Freedom of Information **REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS FEDERAL TAX SUITS** 791 Empl.Ret. Inc. Security Act Act ■ 870 Taxes (US Plaintiff or 900 Appeal of Fee 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting 510 Motion to Vacate Sentence 442 Employment Determination Under Habeas Corpus: Defendant 220 Foreclosure Equal Access to Justice 530 General 871 IRS - Third Party 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 443 Housing 950 Constitutionality of State 26 USC 7609 535 Death Penalty 444 Welfare 240 Torts to Land Statutes 540 Mandamus & Other 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions 550 Civil Rights 290 All Other Real Property 555 Prison Condition VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE US CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) Diversity of citizenship; 25 U.S.C. Section 1332 VII. REQUESTED IN □CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$**  □ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DTIYES PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE". VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE A ">" IN ONE BOX ONLY ☑ SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND ☐ SAN JOSE

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/96)

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44 Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a). F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "\( \sigma^{\pi} \) in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "\script" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Origin. Place an "✓" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers of multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- V. Nature of Suit. Place an "\(\ni\)" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section IV above, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "

  " in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases. Date and Attorney Signature.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.